Neuberger Berman, founded in 1939, is a private, independent, employee-owned investment manager. The firm manages a range of strategies—including equity, fixed income, quantitative and multi-asset class, private equity, real estate and hedge funds—on behalf of institutions, advisors and individual investors globally. Neuberger Berman’s investment philosophy is founded on active management, engaged ownership and fundamental research, including industry-leading research into financially material environmental, social and governance (ESG) factors. Neuberger Berman is a PRI Leader, a designation awarded to fewer than 1% of investment firms. With offices in 26 countries, the firm’s diverse team has over 2,800 professionals. For ten consecutive years, Neuberger Berman has been named first or second in Pensions & Investments Best Places to Work in Money Management survey (among those with 1,000 employees or more). The firm manages $463 billion in client assets as of December 31, 2023. For more information, please visit our website at www.nb.com.

All information is as of December 31, 2023 unless otherwise indicated. Firm data, including employee and assets under management figures, reflect collective data for the various affiliated investment advisers that are subsidiaries of Neuberger Berman Group LLC (the “firm”). Firm history and timelines include the history and business expansions of all firm subsidiaries, including predecessor entities and acquisition entities. Investment professionals referenced include portfolio managers, research analysts/associates, traders, product specialists and team-dedicated economists/strategists. “Employee-owned” includes the firm’s current and former employees, directors and, in certain instances, their permitted transferees. Please see Additional Disclosures for full disclaimer.
INTRODUCTION

Since the inception of the firm in 1939, Neuberger Berman’s purpose has been to deliver compelling investment results for our clients over the long term, supporting them to achieve their investment objectives. We also understand that for some clients, the outcomes that their portfolios enable are an important consideration in conjunction with investment performance.

From our first application of “avoidance screens” in the early 1940s to the launch of our U.S. Sustainable Equity team in 1989, Neuberger Berman remains at the forefront, partnering with clients to provide innovative solutions that achieve the outcomes they value.

Today, we continue to innovate, driven by our belief that the determination of the financial materiality of ESG factors, like the determination of the financial materiality of any other factor, should be incorporated in a manner appropriate for the specific asset class, investment objective and style of each investment strategy. Considering financially material ESG factors in an investment process may help generate enhanced returns or mitigate risk within a portfolio. For those clients that seek them, we believe that our outcomes-oriented investment strategies, such as our Impact strategies, may have a positive impact for people and the planet.
2 | SCOPE

Our ESG policy (the “ESG Policy”) applies to all investment strategies and funds across our investment platform that make an ESG-related claim and that have been approved by Neuberger Berman’s ESG Product Committee, including equities, fixed income and private markets. The ESG Policy is intended to provide a broad framework for our approach to integrating ESG factors into our investment management for those clients who seek various degrees of ESG integration. The specific approach to ESG integration utilized by our portfolio managers depends on multiple factors, including the objectives of the strategy, asset class and investment time horizon, as well as the specific research and portfolio construction, philosophy and process used by the portfolio managers. Additional information on how ESG factors are applied by Neuberger Berman investment professionals can be found in offering and marketing materials for investment strategies and funds that incorporate ESG factors. In addition, portfolios can be customized to meet the goals and values of clients as they instruct.

3 | OVERSIGHT

The ESG Committee (“Committee”) is responsible for reviewing the ESG Policy annually and amending it as needed. The Committee is chaired by the Global Head of ESG and Impact Investing and is comprised of senior investment professionals across all asset classes, including the Chief Investment Officer (CIO) for Equities, and representatives from Equity, Fixed Income and Private Equity teams. The Committee also includes senior professionals from risk management, legal and compliance, marketing and our client coverage organization.

Our dedicated ESG Investing team is responsible for setting the firm’s ESG strategy in collaboration with the Committee and after consultation with portfolio managers, CIOs and our CEO. The ESG strategy and the ESG Policy are reviewed annually by the firm’s Board of Directors, which is comprised of selected leaders of Neuberger Berman and four independent directors. The Board engages in how to effectively develop the firm’s investment strategies and client franchise through evolving markets, while enhancing our firm culture.

The Committee’s broader responsibilities include:

• Approving updates to the firmwide Task Force on Climate-Related Financial Disclosures (TCFD) on at least an annual basis
• Approving new investment strategies that formally integrate ESG factors or social/environmental impact objectives as part of their investment process
• Monitoring the ESG-related aspects of certain existing investment strategies that formally integrate ESG factors or social/environmental impact objectives as part of their investment process
• Supporting the process of enhancing the integration of ESG factors into investment analysis and decision-making across the firm for those strategies that incorporate ESG factors
• Supporting engagement activities with issuers across equities and fixed income, including reviewing the firm’s proxy voting policy
• Facilitating the sharing of research, analysis and insights on ESG issues and trends internally, and the production of ESG thought leadership for the use of clients where allowed
• Supporting efforts to collaborate with clients and others in the investment industry to support the broader acceptance and implementation of ESG investing
• Supporting efforts to address the emerging and evolving regional regulatory landscape
• Overseeing reporting to third-party organizations on our ESG investing activities, including the UN-supported Principles for Responsible Investment (PRI)
• Listening to clients and anticipating their evolving ESG-related needs and objectives

2 For example, EU Regulation on sustainability related disclosures in the financial services sector (SFDR).
The ESG Committee delegates responsibility for the approval of strategies making an ESG-related claim to the ESG Product Committee. The ESG Product Committee is responsible for determining whether Portfolio Managers explicitly include financially material ESG considerations as a factor in their investment analysis and investment decision making for applicable securities.³ The ESG Product Committee is also responsible for determining the European Union Sustainable Finance Disclosure Regulation (SFDR)⁴ classification of in-scope funds and segregated mandates. In addition to periodic monitoring by various control functions to review the application of ESG factors by portfolio managers, the ESG Product Oversight Committee provides an annual review of sustainable and impact-labeled products, as well as those designated Article 8 or 9 under SFDR.

**NEUBERGER BERMAN’S KEY ESG COMMITTEES**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>COMMITTEE</th>
<th>MEMBERSHIP</th>
<th>DESCRIPTION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| ESG Committee                     | • Chaired by the Global Head of ESG and Impact Investing.  
• Comprised of senior investment professionals across all asset classes, including the Chief Investment Officer (CIO) for Equities, and representatives from Equity, Fixed Income and Private Equity teams.  
• Includes senior professionals from client coverage, risk management, legal and compliance, marketing, and our client organization. | The ESG Committee reviews the ESG Strategy for the firm. Its primary responsibility is reviewing the ESG Policy and amending it as needed. It also acts as a cross-asset class forum to share research on ESG issues and trends, and to drive deeper engagement and education on ESG topics across the firm. |
| ESG Product Committee             | • Chaired by the Global Head of ESG and Impact Investing.  
• Includes the Chief Investment Officer (CIO) for Equities. | The ESG Product Committee oversees ESG commitments made at the product and/or investment level for new products. |
| ESG Product Oversight Committee (EPOC) | • Co-chaired by the Head of Business Risk and the Head of Investment Risk EMEA and Asia.  
• Includes senior leaders such as the Global Head of ESG and Impact Investing, Head of Product Development, and other senior leaders across the support and controls teams (Compliance, Legal, Marketing and Risk). | The ESG Product Oversight Committee oversees ESG commitments made at the product and/or investment level for existing products. |
| ESG Challenge Committee           | • Includes selected members of the ESG Committee. | The ESG Challenge Committee is responsible for the critical review and decision of appeals submitted by investment teams against names identified as in breach of an applicable avoidance policy. |
| Governance & Proxy Committee      | • Chaired by President & Chief Investment Officer (CIO) for Equities.  
• Comprised of senior investment professionals across Equities business. | The Governance & Proxy Committee oversees firmwide proxy voting guidelines and procedures, including NB Votes initiative. |
| ESG Advisory Council              | • The council consists of respected external thought leaders across the ESG landscape. | The ESG Advisory Council brings the latest knowledge from academia, the non-profit sector, and institutional asset owners to provide guidance on the future of impact investing and sustainability topics and challenge us to go further in our own efforts. |

³ ESG considerations are not integrated into the analysis of all security types, strategies and client accounts.
OVERVIEW OF NEUBERGER BERMAN’S ESG GOVERNANCE STRUCTURE
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Information sharing and formal oversight.
OUR BROAD VIEW OF ESG FACTORS

As an active manager, we have a longstanding belief that financially material ESG factors may be an important driver of long-term investment returns from both an opportunity and a risk-mitigation perspective. Therefore, we take a comprehensive approach toward managing client assets, including the integration of financially material ESG factors into the investment processes for those strategies where this is disclosed.

While the consideration of any particular environmental or social factor will be specific to the unique investment strategy, below are selected examples of considerations that we may apply to our evaluation of issuers as part of our investment process and during our engagements.

ENVIRONMENTAL:

Climate Change: As an asset manager with a long-term perspective, Neuberger Berman recognizes the impact of climate change and that the transition toward global net-zero emissions is well underway. We also understand that for many of our clients, the climate impact of their portfolio is an increasingly important consideration in conjunction with investment performance. For clients with these outcome-focused objectives, we also consider how climate risks and opportunities may contribute to the requested sustainability outcomes. As a signatory to the Net Zero Asset Management Initiative, many of our portfolio managers manage portfolios at the request of clients, in alignment with the goal of achieving net-zero emissions by 2050 or sooner. To evaluate climate factors as part of our investment analysis, we have implemented top-down scenario analysis for modelling both transition and physical risk at the company level for some listed public equity and corporate issuer fixed income portfolios consistent with the recommendations of the TCFD. We conduct bottom-up analysis of the degree of net-zero alignment of some individual issuers using our proprietary Net-Zero Alignment Indicator methodology. In addition, we leverage our proprietary NB ESG Quotient ratings and third-party carbon emissions data providers to inform our engagements with selected companies in which we invest.

Our Global TCFD Report can be found here.

SOCIAL:

Human Rights: Neuberger Berman recognizes the impact companies may have on human rights as it relates to their employees, contract workers, supply chain workers and within the communities in which they operate. As a signatory to the United Nations Global Compact Principles (UNGC Principles), we are committed to respecting and upholding the protection of human rights, labor laws, environmental protection and anti-corruption practices. As such, we believe it is important to evaluate how companies consider the same principles: support labor rights, comply with local and international laws, give back to the communities they serve and operate in, and have well-managed supply chains as determined by our company analysis and engagements. Acting on that belief, we have created the Neuberger Berman Global Standards Policy which applies a combination of four globally recognised principles and guidelines that seek to identify violators of human rights and business conduct. Those include: UNGC Principles, OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises on Responsible Business Conduct (OECD Guidelines), United Nations Guiding Principles on Business and the Human Rights (UNGPs), and International Labour Standards Conventions (ILO Conventions). Where necessary under regulations such as SFDR or where directed by clients, we prohibit investment in securities issued by companies whose activities breach the Neuberger Berman Global Standards Policy. We also incorporate metrics around human rights into our evaluation of sovereign issuers.

Our Modern Slavery Policy can be found here.
**Equity, Inclusion & Diversity:** We believe that firms perform better when there is a diverse population, and a true equitable and inclusive environment. Diversity alone is not enough. Companies with a strong commitment to Equity, Inclusion and Diversity may have higher performance and longer-term value creation, and a company’s ability to retain its employees and manage turnover has a strong link to its long-term business strategy. As a result, where we deem it to be financially material, our analysis and engagement activities with certain issuers may focus on topics such as board diversity, employee diversity, employee retention, governance and management practices, and employee satisfaction and engagement.

**GOVERNANCE:**

**Board Quality and Independence:** Boards play an important role in scrutinizing management decision making and representing minority shareholders, and as a result, we believe they should be comprised primarily of qualified, independent, diverse directors with relevant experience. Quality board composition coupled with effective policies and transparency regarding corporate governance structures and practices are critical to the long-term success of a company.

**Executive Compensation:** Executive compensation plays a pivotal role in aligning the interests of management with those of shareholders, ensuring that the leaders of a company are appropriately incentivized to drive business success and enhance shareholder returns. Therefore, Neuberger Berman expects each company to design compensation policies that are appropriate to its situation and that will attract and retain skilled executives who will be incentivized to increase their company’s long-term shareholder value. We expect compensation committees to design, adopt and clearly articulate a strong link between executive compensation and performance.

**Risk Management and Accountability:** Boards are instrumental in overseeing a company’s risk management processes and maintaining corporate accountability, which is a key focus of Neuberger Berman’s evaluation process to ensure appropriate governance practices. Boards of directors should actively engage with management to evaluate and control enterprise risk. Companies should provide transparency in communication and reporting on material risks and how they are addressed within long-term strategy and capital allocation decisions.
Portfolio managers, private markets investment professionals and individual credit and equity research analysts are responsible for ESG integration. We believe that this bottom-up approach encourages strategy-specific innovation while allowing each portfolio management team to learn from best practices across the investment platform. Our ESG Investing team accelerates this process with top-down expertise and support.

For all ESG integrated strategies, each portfolio management team selects an approach from our ESG Integration Framework: Assess, Adapt, Amplify, Aim for Impact or Avoid. In building their portfolios, portfolio managers consider whether to reach a more holistic understanding of risk and return ("Assess"), seek to improve social or environmental performance through engagement ("Adapt"), tilt the portfolio to best-in-class5 issuers ("Amplify"), invest in issuers that are intentionally generating positive social/environmental impact ("Aim for Impact") or simply exclude particular issuers ("Avoid").

We believe our approach to integrating ESG factors into our investment processes for “Assess” strategies is consistent with our fiduciary duty to investors. Our focus on financially material and pecuniary ESG factors, as one investment input alongside many other traditional factors, could enable our identification of key risks that individual issuers may face in the near term or over the long haul. We also recognize that certain clients may desire a more outcomes-based approach, which is why we also offer Adapt, Amplify, Aim for Impact, and Avoid strategies. Adapt, Amplify and Aim for Impact strategies are appropriately labelled in the product name as either “transition”, “engagement”, “sustainable” or “impact” products for ease of client choice.

Additionally, clients can customize by the type of investment vehicle employed for investing; for example, client vehicles can be created to implement client-specific avoidance criteria, to tilt toward specific characteristics valued by the client or to seek certain types of positive impact.

**NEUBERGER BERMAN ESG INTEGRATION FRAMEWORK**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PROCESS</th>
<th>OUTCOME</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>ASSESS</strong>&lt;br&gt;Portfolio manager considers financially material ESG factors alongside traditional factors in their investment decisions. ESG factors are generally no more significant than other factors in the investment selection process.&lt;br&gt;“ESG Integrated”&lt;br&gt;In offering documents</td>
<td><strong>ADAPT</strong>&lt;br&gt;Seek to achieve social and/or environmental outcomes through engagement with issuers while also achieving a financial goal.&lt;br&gt;“Transition” or “SDG Engagement”&lt;br&gt;In name of strategy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>AMPLIFY</strong>&lt;br&gt;Seek to achieve a financial goal by investing in high-quality issuers with sustainable business models, practices, products or services and leadership on relevant ESG factors.&lt;br&gt;“Sustainable”&lt;br&gt;In name of strategy*</td>
<td><strong>AIM FOR IMPACT</strong>&lt;br&gt;Seek to intentionally generate positive, measurable social and environmental outcomes for people and the planet alongside a market rate financial return, by investing in issuers whose core business, products, services or use of proceeds of each investment contributes to solutions of pressing environmental and social issues.&lt;br&gt;“Impact”&lt;br&gt;In name of strategy*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>AVOID</strong>&lt;br&gt;Ability to exclude particular issuers or whole sectors from the investable universe to meet regulatory requirements and accommodate client demands.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Transition, Sustainable and Impact named funds may have to meet specific local regulatory requirements including specific exclusions, investment policies, disclosure and reporting requirements which may go above and beyond what is listed here. Please refer to specific fund and strategy disclosures for further information.

5 For purposes of the ESG Policy, “best-in-class” means issuers that are, in Neuberger Berman’s opinion, leaders compared to their peers in terms of meeting environmental, social and governance criteria.
Neuberger Berman integrates ESG analysis to varying degrees across our firm, including equity, fixed income, real estate and private markets. The strategies that are not ESG integrated, such as our co-mingled U.S. Equity Index Put Write options strategy, which writes options on the S&P 500, are in many cases less amenable to considering ESG factors or strategies where we believe ESG factors are not likely to be financially material.

For all ESG integrated strategies, each portfolio management team determines how best to achieve its ESG integration objective and lays out how ESG analysis is conducted to potentially increase return or mitigate risk, how ESG factors are analyzed and measured at the security level, and how they influence portfolio construction. We believe that research analysts and investment professionals that are trained in determining financial materiality are best positioned to determine which factors are financially material to a specific investment decision. For this reason, ESG research is included in the work of our research analysts rather than employing a separate ESG research team. Investment teams are responsible for choosing how best to apply all the tools of active management, whether that is to engage or ultimately to sell a security when it no longer offers an attractive risk-adjusted potential return.

Investment professionals across our investment platform have developed asset class-specific ESG philosophies for ESG-integrated strategies and funds that are aligned with our overall firm philosophy:

- **PUBLIC EQUITY**: we consider financially material factors which could include environmental, social and governance topics where we believe they may impact security valuation for example through a company’s forward-looking multiple, the value of its intangible assets or its earnings.

- **CORPORATE CREDIT**: we consider financially material factors which could include environmental, social and governance topics where we believe they may impact the governance profiles of local governments and public enterprises, labor and stakeholder relations, as well as management and mitigation of environmental risks.

- **MUNICIPAL CREDIT**: we consider financially material factors which could include environmental, social and governance topics where we believe they may impact credit spreads and risk of default. For example, sovereigns with declining control of corruption, weakening adherence to the rule of law, or increasing vulnerability and readiness to climate change may bring incremental risk.

- **SOVEREIGN DEBT**: we consider financially material factors which could include environmental, social and governance topics where we believe they may impact credit spreads and risk of default. For example, sovereigns with declining control of corruption, weakening adherence to the rule of law, or increasing vulnerability and readiness to climate change may bring incremental risk.

- **PRIVATE EQUITY FUND INVESTMENTS**: we may consider a Sponsor’s level of ESG integration at both the firm and the fund strategy level to determine how ESG is incorporated into the investment process, in a way that is comparable across funds.

- **PRIVATE DIRECT INVESTMENTS**: we may assess industry-specific ESG factors that are likely to be financially material for a given company as well as the lead Sponsor’s level of ESG integration.

- **REAL ESTATE**: We may consider environmental, social and governance topics where we believe that they may impact the long-term investment value of real estate companies.

---

6 Our definition of “ESG integrated” aligns with the amendments proposed by the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission in their “ESG Disclosures for Investment Advisers and Investment Companies”.

7 With regards to its hedged strategies, Neuberger Berman primarily focuses on the integration of financially material ESG factors for its long portfolios. As noted by the PRI, responsible investment policies and approaches regarding short positions still remain nascent across the asset management industry.
SUSTAINABILITY OUTCOMES

Some of our strategies, such as our Impact strategies, intentionally seek to generate, measure and report sustainability outcomes. We believe the 17 United Nations Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs), adopted in 2015 to address the world’s most pressing social and environmental challenges by 2030, are helpful to formulating and communicating objectives of sustainable and impact investment strategies. At Neuberger Berman, we have organized the SDGs into five consistent, investable themes across our relevant strategies and believe investors can contribute to the SDGs by investing in or engaging with companies whose products and services have the potential to deliver significant positive social or environmental outcomes:

1. Drive sustainable and equitable growth
2. Improve positive health and safety outcomes
3. Promote gender and racial equality
4. Combat climate change and enable energy transitions
5. Conserve the natural environment

At a client’s request, we also monitor and report the outcomes generated by portfolio companies for select other strategies where sustainability outcomes are not an intentional investment objective.

Many clients particularly focus on theme four: Combat climate change and enable energy transitions. In line with our fiduciary duty to our clients, we consider climate risks or opportunities that may impact the financial performance of a security or a portfolio. We believe that climate risks for many sectors are financially material, and therefore, we consider climate risk factors alongside any other ESG or financial factor which could impair client capital. Some clients seek to go beyond this, for example by seeking a sustainability outcome related to net-zero or climate impact. For these clients, we can partner with them to help set and achieve their net-zero objectives. This includes developing lower-carbon portfolios, as well as setting interim decarbonization and net-zero alignment targets across asset classes.

We believe that while backward-looking metrics such as carbon footprint and intensity are important, robust quantification of climate risk should go beyond those metrics and seek to capture forward-looking real-time insights. This is why we have developed a suite of proprietary climate risk and opportunity assessment tools to meet the needs of different asset classes.

Furthermore, we work with clients to help set and achieve their net-zero objectives. This includes developing lower-carbon portfolios, as well as setting interim decarbonization and net-zero alignment targets across asset classes.
AVOIDANCE POLICIES

We believe engagement efforts that hold companies and issuers accountable to their own and our expectations to be of critical importance.

We believe that where there is an opportunity to partner with portfolio companies on addressing financially material ESG factors, engaging in constructive dialogue can positively influence corporate behaviors to drive long-term returns for our clients. We believe that the judgment and credibility of our investment professionals encourages constructive, long-term dialogue with management teams and board members. We fundamentally believe that meaningful change comes when topics are raised consistently and with senior decision makers.

We understand that for certain strategies formal exclusion policies are either required by regulation, directed by clients or appropriate for achieving the sustainability outcome of the strategy. All Sustainable or Impact portfolios are managed in compliance with at least the minimum exclusions laid out in our Sustainable Exclusions Policy in relation to corporate credit and equity.

Additionally, our internally managed registered funds follow our Neuberger Berman Thermal Coal Involvement Policy. This subjects new direct investments in companies with >25% of revenue from thermal coal mining or are expanding new thermal coal power generation to formal review and approval by Neuberger Berman’s ESG Challenge Committee before the initiation of any new investment positions in the securities of such companies. Registered funds include commingled U.S. mutual, exchange traded and closed-end funds, and international QIAIF and UCITS portfolios. All QIAIFs and UCITS apply a formal Neuberger Berman Controversial Weapons Exclusion Policy, which defines specific exclusion criteria. The Thermal Coal Involvement Policy does not apply to sub-advised funds.

Where necessary under regulations such as SFDR or where directed by clients, we have established the Neuberger Berman Global Standards Policy, which excludes identified violators of the UNGC Principles, the OECD Guidelines, the UNGPs and the ILO Conventions.

The Neuberger Berman Private Markets Avoidance Policy is available to implement for certain mandates and funds across primary, direct co-investment, secondary and private debt strategies. This policy applies to new investment opportunities made on behalf of select clients and commingled funds and is generally consistent with certain global standards, including UNGC Principles, and addresses topics related to sanctions, controversial weapons and thermal coal, among others.

The potential for additional avoidance and other ESG considerations is driven by the investment teams, client mandates, third-party ESG label requirements or regulations. Our separate accounts can be customized based on a client’s values and preferences.

For details of specific fund exclusions, please refer to the relevant investment product documentation.
NB ESG QUOTIENTS

In keeping with our belief that ESG integration must be based on the principle of financial materiality specific to the given investment strategy, our teams do not simply rely on a third-party research provider for ESG analysis. Instead, portfolio managers and research analysts have access to a wide range of data sources and research providers, including our Neuberger Berman Industry Materiality Matrix, as well as the advanced analytics capabilities of our Data Science team and the insights we glean from engaging directly with investee company management teams.

Our Neuberger Berman Industry Materiality Matrix spans multiple asset classes, more than 70 different industries, under 11 sectors and across 33 potentially financially material ESG factors. The matrix allows us to develop forward-looking views by industry, guiding investment analysis and engagement in a consistent and comparable way, and accommodating real-time insights from sector experts. The matrix is available to all investment teams to use as a starting point for further analysis, and can be applied to asset classes, including private markets.

The result of this work is used to create asset-class specific NB ESG Quotients, an industry-relative rating for many companies covered by our central equity and credit analysts on potentially financially material ESG characteristics. The ratings are available for all investment professionals at Neuberger Berman throughout the research environment. The underlying data is updated regularly and the rating methodology is reviewed at least every two years with the sector analysts.

Our custom ratings cover over 4,000 equities and 2,700 credit issuers (including sovereigns), some of which incorporate the analysts’ extensive industry experience to make decisions on qualitative categories that may be hard to measure. Given limited disclosure of ESG data in some markets and for some types of issuers, some ratings include significant qualitative judgment from analysts themselves. Those ratings may be used by portfolio managers as part of their approach to ESG integration for corporate issuers, for example, by adjusting internal credit ratings up or down based on the NB ESG Quotient.

NB ESG EQUITY QUOTIENT COMPANY RATING EXAMPLE: SOFTWARE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ENVIRONMENTAL &amp; SOCIAL</th>
<th>Company #1</th>
<th>Company #2</th>
<th>Company #3</th>
<th>Company #4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Overall E + S Rating</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greenhouse Gas Emissions</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Energy Management</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data Privacy &amp; Security</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Human Capital Development</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employee Satisfaction</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workforce Diversity</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business Ethics</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>GOVERNANCE</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Overall G Rating</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Risk Management Expertise</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Director Equity Policy</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annual Incentive Measures</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Board Gender Diversity</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Neuberger Berman. Please see disclosures at the end of this material.

*Please refer to page 16 for additional information on the NB ESG Quotient.
ENGAGEMENT AND ACTIVE OWNERSHIP

We believe that engaging with issuers is an essential part of being a long-term active owner, and that engaging with issuers on financially material topics can improve their performance and reduce their risk profile. We believe that engagement is important not only for public equities, but for other asset classes such as fixed income and private equity, and that it is the responsibility of each investment team to engage on financially material topics as part of their ongoing dialogue with management. Our ESG Investing team supports these efforts with top-down expertise.

We utilize several methods of engagement in our stewardship efforts including, but not limited to, company meetings, written communication, proxy voting, advance vote disclosure and industry collaboration. The method and frequency of engagement are determined by a host of factors that include our history of engagement with the company, the relevant issue and asset class. Just as we have ESG philosophies for each asset class, our approach to engagement varies by asset class to appropriately consider our relationship with the issuer, the methods of engagement available, our engagement objectives and other asset class-specific considerations. For example, for private equity, we endeavour to identify ESG engagement objectives at the time of due diligence and selection, and monitor progress for primary commitments in particular. More broadly, we disseminate our insights and information through participation on industry boards, working groups and partnerships with General Partners to promote best practices and resources related to ESG integration.

The Neuberger Berman Governance and Proxy Voting Committee oversees our active ownership for equities, and is responsible for the proxy voting process, our Proxy Voting Policy Procedures and Proxy Voting Policy Guidelines. We believe that proxy voting is an integral aspect of investment management and must be conducted with the same degree of prudence and loyalty accorded any fiduciary or other obligation of an investment manager. We have developed custom Proxy Voting Guidelines that lay out our voting positions, focusing on the potential financial impact on a company from corporate governance, environmental and social issues. Recognizing the importance of transparency of our voting activities, we publicly disclose voting records of our registered, co-mingled funds on a monthly basis. Additionally, through our NB Votes initiative, we publish our vote intentions and rationale in advance of select shareholder meetings.

We provide transparency into our stewardship activities through publicly available reports and initiatives, such as our Investment Stewardship Report, NB Votes initiative and regular proxy voting, and engagement reports specific to equities and fixed income. Our Investment Stewardship Report reports on our active ownership activities, the philosophies and processes that underpin them, and the outcomes they produce, in accordance with disclosure best practices set forth by various global stewardship codes.

Due to the importance of stewardship to our business, we have established policies and procedures to address actual or potential conflicts of interest that may arise in the scope of our stewardship activities. We acknowledge our position as a fiduciary for our clients and their beneficiaries, and seek always to act in their best interests. Accordingly, we take reasonable steps to identify actual as well as potential conflicts which may give rise to a material risk of damage to the interests of our clients.

Where directed by clients, sustainability outcomes generated from engagement may be an important part of the impact that they are seeking. Therefore, we measure and track progress of certain engagement-focused strategies that may set objectives centered around sustainability outcomes.

For further details please see our Stewardship and Engagement Policy.

For financial products in scope of the SFDR, we also consider sustainability risks to identify financially material risk that relates to ESG issues. As per the SFDR, sustainability risks are defined as environmental, social and governance events or conditions whose occurrence could have an actual or potential material negative impact on the value of an investment (and hence on the net asset value of the fund and on its returns). Typical examples of Sustainability Risks include but not limited to, risks stemming from climate change (notably physical and transition risks), natural resource depletion, environmental degradation, human rights abuses, bribery, corruption, poor governance and social and employee matters.
COLLABORATION

We collaborate with clients and others in the investment industry to support the broader acceptance and implementation of ESG investing.

We partner with a number of like-minded institutions, including International Financial Reporting Standards (IFRS), Institutional Investors Group on Climate Change (IIGCC), Asia Investor Group on Climate Change (AIGCC), Global Impact Investing Network (GIIN), Transition Pathway Initiative (TPI) and the Asia Corporate Governance Association (ACGA).

We also participate in key industry initiatives and reporting frameworks such as the PRI, TCFD, the UK Stewardship Code and the Operating Principles for Impact Management.

In addition, policymakers are instrumental in establishing reporting and disclosure standards, as well as in efforts to reduce greenwashing by clarifying ESG-related terminology. To support this vital role, we proactively engage with them by sending formal letters to financial regulators, responding to policy consultations, and collaborating with industry groups. Topics range from corporate reporting on ESG metrics to fund naming conventions and ESG disclosure. Our engagement on policy is driven by the number of policy-related questions and enquiries we receive from clients and wider industry stakeholders. This demonstrates there is a growing expectation for asset managers to play a constructive role in supporting policymaking.

A list of our partnerships and collaborations can be found at www.nb.com/esg/collaboration.

Sustainable Finance Disclosure Regulation

Regulation (EU) 2019/2088 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 27 November 2019 on sustainability-related disclosures in the financial services sector (“SFDR”) seeks to (i) establish a harmonised approach in respect of sustainability-related disclosures made by financial market participants to investors within the European Union’s financial services sector and (ii) to achieve more transparency regarding how financial market participants integrate sustainability risks into their investment decisions and the consideration of adverse sustainability impacts into the investment process.

For the purposes of SFDR, Neuberger Berman Asset Management Limited (“NBAMIL”) and Neuberger Berman Europe Limited (“NBEL”) are financial market participants and have classified the financial products which they manage under SFDR and are committed to ongoing compliance with SFDR.

Further details of our compliance with SFDR can be found at https://www.nb.com/en/gb/financial-professionals?audience=UK-Financial-Professionals under the section headed “Sustainability Related Disclosures”.

JONATHAN BAILEY
GLOBAL HEAD OF ESG AND IMPACT INVESTING
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Scored above the median of large investment management peers globally* for ESG integration in every reported category**

Neuberger Berman was also named to the 2020 PRI Leaders Group for our overarching approach to managing climate-related risk and opportunity, a designation awarded to fewer than 1% of investment firms.

* Based on the average scores of reporting investment management signatories globally with AUM greater than $50bn.
**Please see Additional Disclosures for full disclaimer.

Additional Disclosures:
For illustrative and discussion purposes only. PRI grades are based on information reported directly by PRI signatories, of which investment managers totaled 3,123 for 2023, 2,791 for 2021, 1,545 for 2020 and 1,247 for 2019. All PRI signatories are eligible to participate and must complete a questionnaire to be included. The underlying information submitted by signatories is not audited by the PRI or any other party acting on its behalf. Signatories report on their responsible investment activities by responding to asset-specific modules in the Reporting Framework. Each module houses a variety of indicators that address specific topics of responsible investment. Signatories’ answers are then assessed and results are compiled into an Assessment Report. Neuberger Berman pays a fee to be a member of PRI and the grades are only available to PRI members. Ratings referenced do not reflect the experiences of any Neuberger Berman client and readers should not view such information as representative of any particular client’s experience or assume that they will have a similar investment experience as any previous or existing client. Awards and ratings are not indicative of the past or future performance of any Neuberger Berman product or service. Moreover, the underlying information has not been audited by the PRI or any other party acting on its behalf. While every effort has been made to produce a fair representation of performance, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for damage caused by use of or reliance on the information contained within this report. Information about PRI grades is sourced entirely from PRI and Neuberger Berman makes no representations, warranties or opinions based on that information.
NB ESG EQUITY QUOTIENT METHODOLOGY: DISCLOSURES

For environmental and social (ES) rankings, A – D quartiles are used, where A is best (top quartile), D is worst (lowest quartile). For governance (G) rankings, 1 – 4 quartiles are used where 1 is best, 4 is worst. This rating scale is specific to Equity Quotient ratings. Average ESG rating is not a rating of the strategy itself.

Neuberger Berman’s Equity Research Department and the ESG Investing team work together to rate corporations on financially material ESG factors at the industry level, across public equity and fixed income. We measure company performance on financially material ESG factors by using quantitative data and qualitative analysis, informed by engagement with individual companies. Neuberger Berman’s Research Department conducts comprehensive ESG research on company activities and products that are available to all portfolio managers. Our analysts provide comprehensive coverage of companies in their universe, including proprietary ratings and assessments of ESG. Analysts also have ESG data and research available to them through internal portals and external platforms like MSCI. Given limited disclosure of ESG data, many ratings include significant qualitative judgment from analysts themselves. These ratings are used by central research analysts in their fundamental analysis of companies and by portfolio managers as part of their approach to ESG integration.

The methodology for determining the proprietary ES ratings is a multi-step process. In the first step, the firm’s central research equity analysts determine which Environmental and Social issues are likely to be financially material for the sector that each analyst covers. Neuberger Berman’s Research Department then works with the ESG Investing team to identify quantitative sources to measure a particular company’s performance against those issues by applying a variety of public and proprietary sources. For ES issues requiring additional incremental insight, research analysts use proprietary quantitative or qualitative assessments. Each company analyzed within a sector is then compared to peer companies on a normalized distribution. That analysis produces an overall ES score for the company, which is then converted into a quartile rating (A – D). As a final step, further refinements up or down by no more than one quartile are applied to the ranking by the analysts based on their engagement with the company or their overall industry experience. The data underlying the ratings is updated regularly and the entire sector is reviewed at least every two years.

The methodology for determining the proprietary G ratings is also a multi-step process. First, the firm’s central research equity analysts in partnership with our ESG Investing team determine which Governance issues are relevant for a given market. Neuberger Berman’s Research Department then works with the ESG Investing team to identify quantitative sources to measure a particular company’s performance against those issues. The analysts then rate the companies within the sector on a normalized distribution for their overall G performance, which is converted into a quartile rating (1 – 4). As a final step, analysts are given the opportunity to adjust the ratings, but only after completing a standardized qualitative assessment of the company governance characteristics. The data underlying the ratings is updated weekly and the methodology is reviewed at least every two years.