

FRANK LATORRACA

Senior Portfolio Manager,
Head of Private Placements

CHUCK DEVEREUX

Senior Portfolio Manager,
Private Placements

LORI CUNEO

Senior Portfolio Manager,
Private Placements

JASON PRATT

Global Head of Insurance Fixed Income

How to Get the Most From Private Placement Debt

Private Placement Debt can offer fixed income investments enhanced diversification, risk-adjusted yield and downside mitigation. We anticipate growing recognition of the role it can play in a wide range of investor portfolios.

Many investors access the market via managers affiliated with large insurance companies. As demand grows, we believe investors could benefit from an independent route into the market. In this paper, we explain why we think non-affiliated asset managers get to see more transactions, and can tailor portfolios that are better aligned with investors' specific needs.

Executive Summary

- The private placement market continues to attract increasing demand from both traditional participants and a variety of new entrants, resulting in fierce competition that, in our view, necessitates differentiated to achieve better access, higher returns and genuine diversification away from traditional corporate credit.
- We believe Private Placement Debt has three major advantages over public markets:
 - Greater diversification: Investment grade fixed income can be complemented by diverse opportunities across project finance, infrastructure, real estate debt and asset-backed issuance.
 - Higher risk-adjusted yield potential: Typical liquidity and complexity premiums can generate significant additional spread relative to comparable public credits.
 - Enhanced downside mitigation: In the small number of historical defaults, investor-friendly covenants and other protections are associated with higher rates of recovery relative to public bonds.
- We view Private Placements as a natural buy-and-maintain asset to match the long-dated liabilities of life companies, pension plans and endowments, but the yield pick-up makes them potentially attractive to many other types of eligible investor.
- We believe this market offers a unique way to match liabilities across the tenor spectrum at attractive yields without sacrificing portfolio quality, but achieving desired allocations remains challenging given the supply-demand imbalance.
- In our view, accessing the market through an independent asset manager, rather than a manager affiliated with a large insurance company, brings considerable advantages.
 - An independent manager does not have the production demands associated with a general account: It may be better equipped to consider smaller, more complex or more idiosyncratic transactions.
 - An independent manager is not buying Private Placements for its own balance sheet or liability-matching needs: It can dedicate itself to sourcing paper that is better aligned with investors' specific needs.
- We believe now is an opportune time for all types of eligible investor to consider Private Placement Debt for their portfolios, and to reconsider how they access this market.


As we move through the current economic slowdown, overall credit fundamentals remain fairly strong. The resilience of BBB bond issuers through the pandemic is testament to how much larger, more liquid and flexible their balance sheets have become since the Great Financial Crisis. Treasury rates have risen materially, attracting demand for fixed income assets in general. However, private placements still price with a meaningful spread premium over public bonds, despite having additional downside protection via covenants, making them more insulated from market volatility.

Private Placements are currently dominated by large insurance investors, which already snap up much of the vanilla, broadly syndicated issuance for themselves. Other investors have tended to gain access through the asset management divisions of these insurers. We believe this was always a sub-optimal way to build portfolios, and we think it will become more problematic as the large insurance companies continue to increase their demand for investment grade yield for their own balance sheets and new buy-side investors enter the market. In our view, seeking deals with an independent partner will be the best way to facilitate access to the most diversifying and attractive transactions available in the Private Placement market.

In this paper, we explain why we believe now is an opportune time for all types of eligible investor—not only the traditional insurance investors—to consider Private Placement Debt for their portfolios. Just as importantly, we think investors should reconsider how they access this market.

FIGURE 1. PRIVATE PLACEMENT MARKET SNAPSHOT

Private Placement Debt market overview, 2022 issuance



Source: Bank of America. Secured/Structured Notes includes senior secured notes, leveraged leases, credit-linked notes and credit tenant leases. For illustrative purposes only. **Historical trends do not imply, forecast or guarantee future results.**

Comparison of typical private placements with bank loans and publicly traded bonds

	Bank Loans	Private Placement Debt	Public Bonds
Credit Quality	Investment Grade and Non-IG	Investment Grade	Investment Grade and Non-IG
Coupon Structure	Floating Rate	Fixed and Floating Rate	Fixed Rate
Tenor	3-7 Years	3-40 Years	5, 7, 10 and 30 Years
Structure	1st and 2nd Lien Secured and Unsecured	Secured and Unsecured	Primarily Unsecured
Coupon	Leverage Ratio Grid	Fixed for Life	Fixed for Life
Covenants	Maintenance financial covenants	Maintenance financial covenants	None / Incurrence for High Yield
Call Protection	None-par prepayment	Makewhole protection for both optional prepay and default scenarios	Makewhole protection for optional prepay only
Liquidity	Buy & maintain with liquidity as needed	Buy & maintain with liquidity as needed	Actively traded/liquid
NRSRO Ratings	~20%	~75%+	100%

Source: Neuberger Berman. As of December 31, 2022. For illustrative purposes only. A nationally recognized statistical rating organization (NRSRO) is a credit rating agency registered with and subject to oversight by the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC).

Three Major Advantages Over Public Markets


Figure 1 offers an overview of the Private Placement Debt market and how its features typically compare with bank loans and publicly traded bonds. We believe Private Placement Debt offers three major advantages over competing markets:

- Higher risk-adjusted yield profile
- Greater diversification
- Enhanced downside mitigation

Diversification is a key, inherent feature of Private Placement Debt. In addition to corporate issuers, the market is a favored route for infrastructure and project finance, esoteric structured asset-backed transactions, and real estate credit tenant leases, which provide exposures that are very different from those of most other investment portfolios. Compared with a benchmark corporate bond index, the Private Placement market is less concentrated in financials, healthcare and energy, with more balanced exposure to infrastructure and utilities, and a variety of other stable cash-flowing, counter-cyclical industries. Issuers are typically investment grade quality. They often utilize the Private Placement market for diversification of lending sources, confidentiality, bespoke tenors, or more flexibility around rating requirements and documentation; or to facilitate smaller deals, multi-currency issuance, delayed drawdowns or other types of structured transactions.

Yield enhancement over public bonds derives from the complexity and private nature of these transactions, as well as the buy-and-maintain philosophy of many investors, which results in a less active secondary market. Corporate transactions, which typically have a 10-year maturity, tend to price 25 – 125 basis points cheaper than similarly rated credits in the public market.

FIGURE 2. DIVERSE OPPORTUNITY SET OFFERING YIELD PICKUP AND STRUCTURAL PROTECTIONS



1. Source: Bank of America Securities. 2. Source: Private Placement Monitor. As of January 2023. For illustrative purposes only.

Historical trends do not imply, forecast or guarantee future results.

Go beyond corporate issuers, and we believe the relative value proposition is even greater. Infrastructure deals, project finance deals and credit tenant leases, all growth areas of the market, typically have a final maturity between 10 and 20 years and are priced 50 – 100 basis points cheaper than similarly rated comparable bonds. These more structured opportunities provide for highly differentiated and stable exposures that are typically not available in other institutional markets. Asset-backed securities (ABS), also a growing sector, tend to be shorter-dated, at three to seven years, with 125 – 250 basis points of extra spread—and they also tend to carry higher, single A ratings, on average. Most issuance is fixed-rate, but floating rates are available across a variety of issuer and transaction types.

In addition to higher yields, Private Placements tend to have more investor-friendly covenants and other structural protections than public bonds. Project finance, infrastructure and ABS deals are typically secured against specific collateral, for example. Most Private Placements are pari passu with the issuer's senior debt, and include limitations on structural subordination. They typically also come with maintenance financial covenants and protection against change of control. The fact that they are private transactions with relatively few counterparties means that any necessary modification of covenants is generally based on consensus and shared long-term interests, and often comes with work fees and/or coupon bumps that can further enhance long-term returns and risk mitigation. Covenants like these have been tested through multiple credit cycles.

According to the *Society of Actuaries 2003-2015 Credit Risk Loss Experience Study*, Private Placement Bonds rated BBB and above have experienced a slightly higher default rate than publicly traded bonds (0.15% versus 0.12%), but posted higher recoveries (a 0.09% loss rate in BBB deals, versus 0.12% for publicly traded bonds). This result is not surprising given the typical covenant protections in Private Placements. The same study suggests that, when withdrawn ratings are excluded, the probability of a BBB rated Private Placement being downgraded to high yield in any single year is just 2.5%.¹

Private Placements are typically treated as a buy-and-maintain asset. Experienced secondary trading specialists estimate that around \$3bn to \$5bn is traded in the secondary market each year. There tend to be very few sellers in the market but, given the supply-and-demand imbalance and stable nature of these assets, the market has proven its ability over the years to provide liquidity should credit opinions or portfolio needs change.

Fitting Private Placements Into Asset-Liability Management


The fact that so many investors access the Private Placement market via the asset management arms of large insurers already indicates the usefulness of this asset class to insurance investors. Maturities stretching out from three to 40 years, together with make-whole protections against early calls, make Private Placements a natural buy-and-maintain asset to match the liabilities of life companies, pension plans and endowments. This is especially the case for the European life insurers, under the matching adjustment rules of Solvency II. The case is a little more complex for Property & Casualty insurers, but in our view the potential yield pick-up should bring Private Placements into consideration, nonetheless.

Even among U.S. life insurers, however, Private Placements still account for less than a third of the average allocation to investment grade corporate bonds, despite the many advantages. We think that investors who consider Private Placements as an extension of their investment grade credit portfolio are more likely to raise their allocations, given the spread pick-up and potential duration extension available. Such an approach would represent an exchange of a little less liquidity for enhanced covenants, diversification and higher yield within the same asset-class bucket.

¹ Please note the data presented does not cover any periods after 2015 and may not be indicative of current loss and default rates. No representations or warranties are being made with respect to current loss and default rates.

FIGURE 3. AVERAGE U.S. LIFE INSURER ALLOCATION TO PRIVATE PLACEMENTS, 2020

Allocation in fixed income portfolio



Source: Individual insurer statutory filings, reported by S&P Global and aggregated by Neuberger Berman. Data as of December 21, 2022. For illustrative purposes only.

Accessing the Market: The Advantages of Independence

As we mentioned, investors have tended to gain access to Private Placement transactions through the asset management divisions of large life insurers. We think there are two major downsides to this traditional route.

The first issue is the relatively modest size of the market and increasingly intense competition for allocations. A large life insurer with its own Private Placement allocation and, say, 30 clients who want to invest \$200m a year, will need to find between \$8bn and \$10bn of new paper in a market that issues around \$100bn. That is already testing the limits of its share of traditional syndicated new issuance, even without any increase in demand. Each new client dilutes existing clients' share and could necessitate compromise on value or quality.

By contrast, an independent asset manager with a similar number of clients may be putting only half as much capital to work each year. Crucially, it also has more latitude to look for more bespoke issues beyond the typical, syndicated deal flow, as well as club deals and limited distribution transactions. With the appropriate resources, experience and sourcing network, it can also generate its own bilateral transactions with banks, issuers and sponsors. A large insurance asset manager may be unable to provide the undivided focus and quick decision-making that an independent can bring to these negotiations. This all adds to the ability to find a full calendar of differentiated, high-quality, attractively priced deals for clients.

The second issue is lack of alignment of interest. A large life insurer's Private Placement team is primarily focused on sourcing transactions for its own balance sheet and liability-matching needs—and those deals may not be suitable for investors with different profiles. It may have neither the deal flow nor the resources to tailor transactions or portfolios for its outside clients. Some investors value the reassurance that comes from being effectively a "co-investor" with a big insurance industry name, but others recognize the value that an independent asset manager can offer in terms of portfolio tailoring, client focus and operational and administrative matters.

To do that, in our view the right partner is essential. We believe Neuberger Berman's independent, experienced Private Placement team, backed by our firm's extensive credit research, dedicated insurance and institutional solutions capabilities, and robust platform for environmental social and governance (ESG) investing, is well positioned to be that partner for our clients. Importantly, members

of our team have long experience of not only managing Private Placements for global insurance companies, but also arranging and structuring transactions on the sell side of the market. That experience brings critical skills and longstanding relationships with agent banks in North America, Europe, Asia and Australia, with major sponsors in private equity, private debt, real estate and infrastructure, and with sports teams, leagues and associations. Our team expects to see all of the syndicated market; and over the last several years, approximately a third of the transactions members of our team participated in or helped originate were limited distribution opportunities, including club deals, bilateral transactions and other unique financing opportunities.²

Three examples can serve to illustrate the variety of transactions on which individual members of our team have worked:

1. SYNDICATED DEAL

Key Characteristics	Very Large, Long-Dated, Structured Product
Deal Size	~\$1.5 billion
Maturity / Average Life	30 years / 22.6 years
Rating	A

This deal was syndicated by an agent bank. It helped to finance the spin-off of one of the leading academic medical centers in the U.S. from its university parent by monetizing in advance a portion of the fees that the medical center agreed to pay to the university over the next 30 years. There are no direct enforcement rights against the medical center, but in our view, that risk is mitigated by noteholders' position in the waterfall (second only to certain operating and administrative expenses), along with the fact that the deal monetized only around 20% of the flows that the university receives from the medical center.

2. CLUB DEAL

Key Characteristics	Large, Intermediate Tenor, Infrastructure, Structured Product
Deal Size	~\$420m
Maturity / Average Life	9 years / 5 years
Rating	Baa1 / A-

This deal was offered as a club deal by an agent bank. It provided funding for a portion of the compensation for early termination of power purchase agreement between a South American mining company and a power plant operator. The agreement was due to last until 2029, but in 2019 the mining company's majority owner announced an initiative to reduce its greenhouse gas emissions, and switched from its coal-fired power purchase agreement to renewable power agreements. The mining company's underlying contractual obligation represents an irrevocable and unconditional payment obligation denominated in U.S. dollars under the debt acknowledgment contract governed by relevant non-U.S. law, preserving source of payment for Private Placement investors.

² Based on the team's internal analysis of deals participated in from January 2017 through June 2021, relying on information from the deal agents. The Private Placement Debt team recently joined Neuberger Berman and has not managed portfolios investing in private placement debt at Neuberger Berman. The prior performance and investment experience of the portfolio managers and other investment professionals of the Private Placement Debt team at firms other than Neuberger Berman may not be indicative of the likely performance or investment experience of the strategy at Neuberger Berman.

3. BILATERAL DEAL

Key Characteristics	Small, Short-Dated, Sports, Naming Rights
Deal Size	~\$20m
Maturity / Average Life	2.7 years / 1.4 years
Rating	BBB

This deal was offered as a bilateral transaction from a leading agent bank, and a member of our team advised the sole investor. The debt proceeds financed a naming rights monetization for a project and renovations at a major sports league's Hall of Fame, and was secured against all the assets of the issuer, specifically including the right to receive monthly sponsorship payments. The naming rights contract was structured to default if there was a failure to deliver the project at the scheduled date, and risk of nonpayment was mitigated by timing of the payments three months in advance. The transaction originated when the agent bank approached a member of our team, with whom it had an established relationship, for advice on structuring the deal. In recognition of that partnership, the client was awarded the full allocation.³

Conclusion: An Attractive Asset Class That Investors Can Shape to Their Needs

This sports naming rights transaction is a good example of how critical longstanding relationships are in the Private Placement business, and how those relationships are fostered over complex, idiosyncratic, bespoke deals. We think it shows how the combination of complexity and close partnership can result in mutually attractive financing solutions, terms and pricing.

It also offers an insight into how Private Placement Debt can offer more diversification, a higher risk-adjusted yield profile and enhanced downside mitigation, relative to equivalently rated public corporate bonds. In a world of low yields and tight spreads, we think that makes the asset class a focus for potentially fierce competition among fixed income investors over the coming years.

We believe that competition is likely to further increase investors' appetite for bespoke transactions—to secure the required allocations, maintain spreads and tailor portfolios to specific needs. Neuberger Berman's specialist Private Placement team can offer the experience, network and alignment of interest required to participate fully in this fascinating and diverse marketplace.

³ The Private Placement Debt team recently joined Neuberger Berman and has not managed portfolios investing in private placement debt at Neuberger Berman. The prior performance and investment experience of the portfolio managers and other investment professionals of the Private Placement Debt team at firms other than Neuberger Berman may not be indicative of the likely performance or investment experience of the strategy at Neuberger Berman. The case studies discussed do not represent all past investments involving members of the Private Placement Debt team. It should not be assumed that an investment in any case study listed was or will be profitable. The details related to these investments are non-public and confidential and these case studies are intended to show investment process and not performance.

This material is provided for informational purposes only and nothing herein constitutes investment, legal, accounting or tax advice. This material is general in nature and is not directed to any category of investors and should not be regarded as individualized, a recommendation, investment advice or a suggestion to engage in or refrain from any investment-related course of action. Investment decisions and the appropriateness of this material should be made based on an investor's individual objectives and circumstances and in consultation with his or her advisors. Third-party economic or market estimates discussed herein may or may not be realized and no opinion or representation is being given regarding such estimates. Information is obtained from sources deemed reliable, but there is no representation or warranty as to its accuracy, completeness or reliability. The firm, its employees and advisory accounts may hold positions of any companies discussed. It should not be assumed that any investments in securities, companies, sectors or markets identified and described were or will be profitable. All information is current as of the date of this material and is subject to change without notice. Any views or opinions expressed may not reflect those of the firm as a whole. Certain Neuberger Berman products and services may not be available in all jurisdictions or to all client types.

This material may include estimates, outlooks, projections and other "forward-looking statements." Due to a variety of factors, actual events or market behavior may differ significantly from any views expressed. Investing entails risks, including possible loss of principal. Investments in Private Placements are intended for sophisticated investors only. Indexes are unmanaged and are not available for direct investment. **Past performance is no guarantee of future results.**

This material is being issued on a limited basis through various global subsidiaries and affiliates of Neuberger Berman Group LLC. Please visit www.nb.com/disclosure-globalcommunications for the specific entities and jurisdictional limitations and restrictions.

The "Neuberger Berman" name and logo are registered service marks of Neuberger Berman Group LLC.

NEUBERGER	BERMAN
-----------	--------

Neuberger Berman

1290 Avenue of the Americas
New York, NY 10104-0001

www.nb.com