

Neuberger Berman Controversial Weapons Exclusion Policy

Last updated 12 December 2024

1. INTRODUCTION

Neuberger Berman, founded in 1939, is a private, independent, employee-owned investment manager. The firm manages a range of equity, fixed income, quantitative and multi-asset class, private equity, real estate and hedge funds – on behalf of institutions, advisors and individual investors globally. Neuberger Berman's investment philosophy is founded on active management, engaged ownership and fundamental research, including industry-leading research into material environmental, social and governance (ESG) factors.

2. SCOPE

Neuberger Berman is committed to supporting and upholding conventions that seek to ban the production of controversial weapons. We are therefore committed across all of our European-domiciled equity, fixed income and multi-asset UCITS and QIAIF funds to not invest in securities issued by companies that we believe are involved in the manufacture of controversial weapons as defined in the Definitions section below. Separately managed accounts and other financial products can be accommodated upon request or on a case-by-case basis.

3. DEFINITIONS

We define involvement in the manufacture of controversial weapons as either being responsible for end manufacture and assembly of controversial weapons or being responsible for the manufacture of intended use components for controversial weapons.

We do not include dual-use component manufacturers (manufacturers of items that can be used for both military and non-military purposes) or delivery platform manufacturers (this may include manufacturers of airplanes, drones, ships or submarines potentially used to deliver weapons to their target / intended place of detonation) in our definition of controversial weapons.

We define controversial weapons as:

- a. Biological and chemical weapons: Weapons outlawed by the Biological Weapons and Toxin Weapons Convention (BTWC) of 1972, including but not limited to white phosphorus.
- b. Anti-personnel mines: Weapons that signatories agreed to prohibit the use, stockpiling, production or transfer of under the 1997 Anti-personnel Landmines Convention. The Convention was concluded in Oslo, Norway on September 18, 1997 and entered into force on March 1, 1999, six months after it was ratified by 40 states. Today, the treaty is still open for ratification by signatories and for accession by those that did not sign before March 1999. The Convention does not address the issue of financial support for companies that manufacture such weapons.
- c. Cluster munitions: Weapons that signatories agreed to restrict the manufacture, use and stockpiling of, as well as components of these weapons, under the 2008 Convention on Cluster Munitions. The Convention was agreed in Dublin, Ireland on May 30, 2008 and entered into force on August 1, 2010, six months after it was ratified by 30 states. Today, the treaty is still open for ratification by signatories and for accession by those that did not sign before August 2010. The implications for financial support of companies that manufacture cluster munitions is left unclear in the Convention. As a result, signatory states and the institutions based on them have taken a range of approaches to the question of prohibiting or allowing investments in cluster munitions producers: some prohibit all investments, some prohibit only direct investments and some have not yet banned investments.
- d. Depleted uranium weapons: Companies involved in the production of depleted uranium (DU) weapons, ammunition and armour.

4. PARENT AND SUBSIDIARY RELATIONSHIPS

Neuberger Berman generally uses the methodologies of its data vendors when identifying excluded issuers. However, Neuberger Berman may exercise discretion based on its research to review and, where appropriate, diverge from a data vendor's methodology, particularly when assessing the relationship between parent and subsidiary companies of an excluded issuer. In exercising its discretion, Neuberger Berman may decide either to exclude associated issuers in the relationship hierarchy or to waive a suggested exclusion. It is anticipated that there will be limited instances where Neuberger Berman exercises its discretion to diverge from a data

¹ Reference to 'employee-owner' includes: the firm's current and former employees, directors and, in certain instances, their permitted transferees.

² Formally known as the '1997 Convention on the Prohibition of the Use, Stockpiling, Production and Transfer of Anti-Personnel Mines and on their Destruction', or as the Ottawa Convention; or the Mine Ban Treaty.

vendor's methodology. Further, in any such instance, the issuer in question will be reviewed and approved on a case-by-case basis by the Neuberger Berman Challenge Review Group³.

Subsidiaries which are less than 50% owned by an issuer, will not be considered consolidated for the purpose of considering the metrics and overall worthiness of the issuer to comply with the Policy. This Policy does not require that the parent company of an issuer be considered when evaluating the issuer's metrics and overall worthiness to comply with this Policy. As such, the Policy permits investment in an issuer whose products and services meet the requirements of the Policy, provided that the issuer is a stand-alone business operation whose obligations are non-recourse to its parent company.

5. IMPLEMENTATION

The Policy is subject to review by Neuberger Berman's Stewardship and Sustainable Investing Committee.

We use a reputable, recognized third party and may use proxy data along with internal research to help identify companies that are involved in controversial weapons production. Where appropriate, we supplement this with our internal research and company engagement. ESG metrics (as generated by third-party data providers) may include qualitative judgment and/or may be based on estimates. While we conduct due diligence on these third party data providers, it cannot be ruled out that such information or data may be incomplete, inaccurate or inconsistent.

Where a portfolio manager disagrees with the outcome of this assessment, that portfolio manager may appeal to the Neuberger Berman Challenge Review Group on the decision to add the company to the Policy's Exclusions List⁴. If the appeal is successful with respect to the relevant exclusion, the company will instead be placed on the Policy's Watch List⁵ for monitoring.

Implementation of this Policy is managed by our Asset Management Guideline Oversight team, in collaboration with Legal and Compliance. Investment in companies identified and verified through this process is restricted through Neuberger Berman's trade compliance system.

Our Exclusion List can be provided to clients upon request.

We can also implement customized additional exclusions based upon a client's own values and objectives in separately managed accounts. Utilizing specialist research, we can help develop investment universes (for separately managed accounts) that reflect your values and exclude companies that have a material exposure to a particular issue or that breach international standards.

The Policy applies to direct investments that are held by the relevant financial product. The Policy does not apply to short positions, synthetic exposures, derivatives or investments in pooled investment vehicles on a look-through basis, including ETFs.

Only long positions are considered for this Policy. Financial products applying the Policy, may take short positions in companies on the Exclusion List, i.e. seeking to profit from expected declines in the value of such issuers. Such short positions must be cash settled. Financial products applying the Policy, are prohibited from placing cover trades on issuers on the Exclusion List, this prohibition extends to holdings covering short positions.

This Policy does not apply to financial products, or portions of financial products, that are managed by third-party sub-advisers.

6. EXCLUSION LIST AND DIVESTMENT PROCESS

A decision to divest from an issuer will be prompted where the issuer fails to meet any of the above exclusions. In such circumstances, the issuer will be added to the Exclusion List.

If an issuer is added to the Exclusion List, the investment teams (of Portfolios applying the Policy) will be instructed to divest all investments in that issuer as soon as possible and in any case within a 30-day window, provided that it is in the best interests of the Shareholders to do so. Holding such securities will not constitute a breach of this Policy until the 30-day window has lapsed, the 30-day window will be extended where a challenge has been initiated.

If a challenge is initiated, there will be a 60-day limit on the challenge period. The 30-day divestment period will commence upon either: (i) the expiry of the 60-day challenge period; or (ii) upon the final decision of the Neuberger Berman Challenge Review Group (where this decision is taken before the expiry of the 60-day challenge period). This means that the investment teams (of Portfolios applying the Policy) have 30 days from the expiry of the 60-day challenge period or the final decision of the Neuberger Berman Challenge Review Group to divest from issuers added to the Exclusion List. Until this process has run its course, the holding in question is not considered in breach of the Policy.

The initiation of new investment positions in issuers on the Policy's Exclusion List is prohibited at all times.

If the Neuberger Berman Challenge Review Group determines, this process may be expedited and action to divest taken immediately.

In the event that any of the exclusions within this Policy are changed, we will ensure that any existing holdings, of any Portfolio that is subject to this Policy, that are no longer in compliance will be sold as soon as reasonably possible and, in any case, within 30 days of the date of the change to this Policy, provided that it is in the best interests of the Shareholders to do so.

³ The Neuberger Berman Challenge Review Group is a sub-group of the Neuberger Berman Stewardship and Sustainable Investing Committee. The Neuberger Berman Challenge Review Group is responsible for the critical review and approval of appeals submitted by Neuberger Berman investment teams against any issuer identified as excluded by an Neuberger Berman data vendor when applying the applicable exclusion.

⁴ The Exclusion List includes companies that Neuberger Berman have deemed to be in breach of this Policy.

⁵ The Watch List includes companies that Neuberger Berman have deemed to raise serious concerns about their alignment with the Policy.

7. DISCLAIMER

European Economic Area: This document is issued by Neuberger Berman Asset Management Ireland Limited, which is regulated by the Central Bank Ireland and is registered in Ireland, at 2 Central Plaza, Dame Street, Dublin, D02 T0X4.

United Kingdom: This document is issued by Neuberger Berman Europe Limited, which is authorised and regulated by the Financial Conduct Authority and is registered in England and Wales, at The Zig Zag Building, 70 Victoria Street, London, SW1E 6SQ.

It is also being issued on a limited basis through various global subsidiaries and affiliates of Neuberger Berman Group LLC. Please visit www.nb.com/disclosure-globalcommunications for the specific entities and jurisdictional limitations and restrictions.

This document is provided for information purposes only and nothing herein constitutes investment, legal, accounting or tax advice, or a recommendation to buy, sell or hold a security. No recommendation or advice is being given as to whether any investment or strategy is suitable for a particular investor. It should not be assumed that any investments in securities, companies, sectors or markets identified and described were or will be profitable. We do not represent that this information, including any third party information, is complete and it should not be relied upon as such. Each recipient of this document should make such investigations as it deems necessary to arrive at an independent evaluation of any investment, and should consult its own legal counsel and financial, actuarial, accounting, regulatory and tax advisers to evaluate any such investment. Any views or opinions expressed may not reflect those of the firm as a whole. Certain products and services may not be available in all jurisdictions or to all client types. Investing entails risks, including possible or total loss of principal.

Any discussion of environmental, social and governance (ESG) factor and ratings are for informational purposes only and should not be relied upon as a basis for making an investment decision. ESG factors are one of many factors that may be considered when making investment decisions.

No part of this document may be reproduced or redistributed in whole or in part without prior written permission of Neuberger Berman, except as may be required by law or regulation.

The "Neuberger Berman" name and logo are registered service marks of Neuberger Berman Group LLC.

©2024 Neuberger Berman Group LLC. All rights reserved.